

# Deformations of Branched Lefschetz Pencils

# Omegar Calvo-Andrade

**Abstract.** Let M be a projective manifold of dimension  $\geq 3$  and  $H^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = 0$ . We will show that a deformation of a codimension one singular foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  arising from the fibers of a generic meromorphic map of the form  $f^p/g^q, p, q > 0$  has a meromorphic first integral of the same type.

#### 0. Introduction

Recently, Gómez-Mont and Lins [GM-L] have shown the following result, which is an extension to codimension one holomorphic foliations with singularities of the Thurston-Reeb stability theorem:

**Theorem.** [GM-L] Let M be a projective manifold:

- (1) If  $H^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = 0$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \geq 3$ , then Lefschetz Pencils are  $\mathcal{C}^0$ -structurally stable foliations.
- (2) If  $\pi_1(M) = 0$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \geq 4$ , then Branched Lefschetz Pencils are  $\mathcal{C}^0$ -structurally stable foliations.

The aim of this work, is to improve the second part of this theorem.

Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be positive holomorphic line bundles on M with holomorphic sections  $f_1, f_2$ . Assume that  $L_1^{\otimes p} = L_2^{\otimes q}$ , where p and q are relatively prime positive integers. The fibers of the meromorphic map  $\phi = f_1^p/f_2^q$  define a codimension one holomorphic foliation with singularities represented by the twisted one-form

$$\omega = pf_2df_1 - qf_1df_2 \in Fol(M, L_1 \otimes L_2).$$

Received 25 June 1993. In revised form 10 August 1994.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 58A17.

Supported by Conacyt: 3398 E9307.

In what follows, we shall say that  $\phi$  is a meromorphic first integral of the foliation represented by the section  $\omega$ .

By a generic meromorphic map  $\phi = f_1^p/f_2^q$  we mean the following:

- (1) The sets  $\{f_1 = 0\}_{i=1,2}$  are smooth irreducible and meet transversely on a codimension two submanifold K.
- (2) The subvarieties defined by  $\lambda f_1^p \mu f_2^q = 0$  with  $(\lambda: \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1$  are smooth on M K except for a finite set of values  $\{(\lambda_i: \mu_i)\}_{\{i=1,\dots,k\}}$ , where the corresponding subvariety has only one non-degenerate critical point.

A meromorphic map satisfying conditions (1) and (2) is called a Lefschetz Pencil if p = q = 1 and a Branched Lefschetz Pencil otherwise. Our main results are the following:

**Theorem A.** Let M be a projective manifold whose complex dimension is at least 3 and with  $H^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = 0$ . Let  $\omega = pf_2df_1 - qf_1df_2$  with  $p \neq q$  where  $f_i$  are holomorphic sections of the positive line bundles  $L_i$  and  $L_1^p = L_2^q$ . If  $\{f_i = 0\}_{i=1,2}$  are smooth irreducible and meet transversally, then any deformation  $\omega'$  of the foliation represented by  $\omega$  has a meromorphic first integral  $\phi' = f_1'^p/f_2'^q$  where  $f_i' \in H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L_i))$ .

As consequence of this result, the Gómez-Mont Lins theorem may be stated as follows:

**Theorem B.** Let M be a projective manifold whose complex dimension is at least 3 and with  $H^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension one holomorphic foliation with singularities arising from the fibers of a Lefschetz or a Branched Lefschetz Pencil. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^0$ -structurally stable foliation.

Finally, we relate our results with universal families of foliations:

**Theorem C.** Let M be a projective manifold whose complex dimension is at least 3 and with  $H^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(c)$  be an irreducible component of Fol(M,c) that contains a foliation which has a generic meromorphic first integral. Then there exists a Zariski dense open subset of  $\mathcal{B}(c)$  parameterizing the  $\mathcal{C}^0$ -structurally stable foliations; all of them are topologically equivalent, and have a generic rational first integral.

In [M], Muciño analyses the tangent space of the space of foliations on a Lefschetz pencil. From these infinitesimal methods, he gives an

independent proof of part (1) of the Gómez-Mont-Lins theorem.

### 1. Codimension one foliations

A codimension one holomorphic foliation with singularities on a complex manifold M may be given by a family of 1-forms  $\omega_{\alpha}$  defined on an open cover  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$  of M, satisfying the Frobenius integrability condition  $\omega_{\alpha} \wedge d\omega_{\alpha} = 0$ , and the cocycle condition  $\omega_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha\beta}\omega_{\beta}$  in  $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ , where  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$  are non-vanishing holomorphic functions. If L denotes the holomorphic line bundle on M obtained with the cocycles  $\{\lambda_{\alpha\beta}\}$ , then the 1-forms glue to give a holomorphic section of the bundle  $T^*M \otimes L$ .

#### 1.1 Definition

A codimension one holomorphic foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  with singularities in the complex manifold M, is an equivalence class of sections  $\omega \in H^0(M, \Omega^1(L))$  where L is a holomorphic line bundle, such that  $\omega$  does not vanish identically on any connected component of M and satisfies the integrability condition  $\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$ . The singular set of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of points  $S(\mathcal{F}) = \{p \in M | \omega(p) = 0\}$ . The leaves of the foliation are the leaves of the non-singular foliation in  $M - S(\mathcal{F})$ .

When a leaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is such that its closure  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is a closed analytic subspace of M of codimension 1, we will also call  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We will use the following notions:

A holomorphic family  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in T}$  of codimension one holomorphic foliations with singularities parameterized by a complex analytic space T consists of the following:

- (1) A holomorphic family of complex manifolds  $\{M_t\}$ , given as a smooth map  $\pi: \mathcal{M} \to T$  between complex spaces with  $\pi^{-1}(t) = M_t$ .
- (2) A holomorphic foliation with singularities  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that its leaves are contained in the t-fibers and the restriction  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{M_t} = \mathcal{F}_t$  is a codimension one holomorphic foliation with singularities on  $M_t$ .

A foliation  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is a deformation of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_2$  if there exists a family of foliations  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in T}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_t$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}_s$ , where t,  $s\in T$ .

Given a family of foliations  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ , the *perturbed holonomy* of a leaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is the holonomy of  $\mathcal{L}$  as a leaf of the foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . It is clear that the perturbed holonomy has the form:

$$H_{\alpha}(t,z) = (h_{\alpha}(t,z),t),$$

where  $h_{\alpha}$  is a holomorphic function such that  $h_{\alpha}(0, z)$  is the holomorphic map associated to  $\alpha \in \pi_1(\mathcal{L})$  as a leaf of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_0$ .

We will assume that M is compact and has complex dimension  $\geq 3$ . In this case, the set Fol(M,c) of those foliations defined by an equivalence class of sections  $w \in H^0(M,\Omega^1(L))$  where L is a line bundle with Chern class c(L) = c, is an algebraic variety [GM-M] p. 133.

# 1.2 Definition

Consider  $\omega \in H^0(M, \Omega^1(L))$ . A section  $\varphi \in H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L))$  is say to be an *integrating factor* of  $\omega$  if the meromorphic one form

$$\Omega := \frac{\omega}{\varphi}$$

is closed.

The following result shows, that if a section  $\omega \in H^0(M, \Omega^1(L))$  has an integrating factor, then it is integrable.

**1.3 Theorem.** Let M be a projective manifold with  $H^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = 0$ , and let  $\varphi = \varphi_1^{r_1} \cdots \varphi_k^{r_k} \in H^0(M,\mathcal{O}(L))$ ,  $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$  be an integrating factor of the foliation represented by  $\omega$ . Then:

$$\Omega = \frac{\omega}{\varphi} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \frac{d\varphi_i}{\varphi_i} + d(\Psi)$$

where  $\Psi$  is a meromorphic function with poles at the divisor

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_i \{ \varphi_i = 0 \} \ l_i \le r_i - 1, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

**Proof.** We follow  $[\mathbf{C}\mathbf{-M}]$  p. 38.

Consider the meromorphic 1-form

$$\Omega_1 = \Omega - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \frac{d\varphi_i}{\varphi_i}$$
 where  $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_i} \Omega$ ,

the loop  $\gamma_i \in \pi_i(M - \{\varphi_i = 0\})$  denotes the generator of the kernel of the map  $i_* = \pi_1(M - \{\varphi_i = 0\}) \to \pi_1(M)$ , where  $i: M - \{\varphi_i = 0\} \hookrightarrow M$  is the inclusion map.

Integrating by paths the meromorphic 1-form  $\Omega_1$ , gives a representation

$$H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{C}$$
  
 $[\gamma] \mapsto \int_{\gamma} \Omega_1.$ 

Now, since  $H^1(M;\mathbb{C}) = 0$ , this representation defines a holomorphic map

$$H: M - \{\varphi = 0\} \to \mathbb{C}.$$

We claim that this map extends meromorphically to M with a pole of order smaller or equal to  $r_i - 1$  along the divisor  $\{\varphi_i = 0\}$ .

To prove this assertion, take a coordinate system (z; w) on a neighborhood of a smooth point  $x \in \{\varphi_i = 0\}$ , such that  $\{z = 0\} = \{\varphi_i = 0\}$  and span the function H as a Laurent series in the variable z.

$$H(z; w) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(w) \cdot z^n,$$

then we have:

$$dH(z;w) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z^n \cdot da_n(w) + (n-1)z^{n-1} \cdot a_n(w)dz$$
$$z^{r_i}dH(z;w) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z^{n+r_i} \cdot da_n(w) + (n-1)z^{r_i+n-1} \cdot a_n(w)dz.$$

Since  $z^{r_i} \cdot dH$  is holomorphic, we have that  $a_n(w)$  vanishes identically whenever  $n \leq -(r_i - 1)$ .  $\square$ 

**Remark.** With the notation above, by the residue theorem we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \cdot c_1[\{\varphi_i = 0\}] = 0 \in H^2(M; \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $c_1[\{\varphi_i = 0\}]$  denotes the Chern class of the line bundle associated to the divisor  $\{\varphi_i = 0\}$ .

**1.4 Corollary.** Let M be a projective manifold with  $H^1(M; \mathbb{C}) = 0$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation represented by a section  $\omega \in H^0(M; \Omega^1(L))$  and having an integrating factor  $\varphi$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  has at least a compact leaf.

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi = \varphi_1^{r_1} \cdots \varphi_k^{r_k}$  be an integrating factor, then the theorem above shows that the hypersurfaces defined by the equations  $\{\varphi_i = 0\}$  are invariant by the foliation, and hence, they are compact leaves of the foliation represented by the section  $\omega$ .  $\square$ 

As a final comment, when a foliation has two linearly independent integrating factors  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ , it is not difficult to show that the foliation has the meromorphic first integral given by

$$\frac{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2}: M \to \mathbb{P}^1.$$

# 2. Kupka type singularities

This section is dedicated to describe the singular set of foliations with a generic meromorphic first integral.

#### 2.1 Definition

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension-one holomorphic foliation with singularities represented by  $\omega \in H^0(M, \Omega^1(L))$ . The Kupka singular set denoted by  $K(\mathcal{F}) \subset S(\mathcal{F})$  is defined by:

$$K(\mathcal{F}) = \{ p \in M | \omega(p) = 0 \mid d\omega(p) \neq 0 \}.$$

The local structure of the Kupka singular set is described by the following result. The proof may be found in [Me].

- **2.2 Theorem.** Let  $\omega$  and  $K(\mathcal{F})$  as above, then:
- (1)  $K(\mathcal{F})$  is a codimension two locally closed submanifold of M.
- (2) For every connected component  $K \subset K(\mathcal{F})$  there exist a holomorphic 1-form

$$\eta = A(x, y)dx + B(x, y)dy$$

defined in a neighborhood V of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$  vanishing only at 0, an open covering  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$  of a neighborhood of K in M and a family of submersions  $\varphi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $\varphi_{\alpha}^{-1}(0) = K \cap U_{\alpha}$  and  $\omega_{\alpha} = \varphi_{\alpha}^* \eta$  defines

 $\mathcal{F}$  in  $U_{\alpha}$ .

(3)  $K(\mathcal{F})$  is persistent under variations of  $\mathcal{F}$ ; namely, for  $p \in K(\mathcal{F})$  with defining 1-form  $\varphi^*\eta$  as above, and for any foliation  $\mathcal{F}^*$  sufficiently close to  $\mathcal{F}$ , there is a holomorphic 1-form  $\eta'$  defined on a neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$  and a submersion  $\varphi'$  close to  $\varphi$  such that  $\mathcal{F}'$  is defined by  $(\varphi')^*\eta'$  on a neighborhood of p.

**Remark.** The germ at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$  of  $\eta$  is well defined up to biholomorphism and multiplication by non-vanishing holomorphic functions. We will call it the transversal type of  $\mathcal{F}$  at K. Let X be the dual vector field of  $\eta$ , since  $d\omega \neq 0$ , we have that  $\mathrm{Div}\,X(0) \neq 0$ , thus the linear part D = DX(0) is well defined up to linear conjugation and multiplication by scalars. We will say that D is the linear type of K. Since  $trD \neq 0$ , it has at least one non-zero eigenvalue. Normalizing, we may assume that the eigenvalues are 1 and  $\mu$ . We will distinguish three possible types of Kupka type singularities:

- (a) Degenerate: If  $\mu = 0$ .
- (b) Semisimple: If  $\mu \neq 0$  and D is semisimple.
- (c) Non-semisimple,  $\mu = 1$  and D is not semisimple.

The topological properties of the embedding  $K(\mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow M$ , which can be measure in terms of the normal bundle  $\nu_K$  of  $K \subset M$ , and the transversal type, are strongly related. [GM-L] p. 320-324.

**2.3 Theorem.** Let K be a compact connected component of  $K(\mathcal{F})$  such that the first Chern class of the normal bundle of K in M is non-zero, then the linear transversal type is non-degenerate, semisimple with eigenvalues  $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$  and 1. Furthermore, if  $0 < \mu$ , then the transversal type is linearizable, and for any deformation  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  of  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0$ , the transversal type is constant through the deformation.

Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be holomorphic sections of the positive line bundles  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  respectively. Assume that the line bundles satisfy the relation  $L_1^{\otimes p} = L_2^{\otimes q}$  for some p,q relatively prime positive integers. Also suppose that the hypersurfaces  $\{f_1 = 0\}$  and  $\{f_2 = 0\}$  are smooth, and meet transversely. The integrable holomorphic section of the bundle  $T^*M \otimes$ 

 $L_1 \otimes L_2$  given by

$$\omega = pf_2df_1 - qf_1df_2,$$

has the meromorphic first integral  $\phi = f_1^p/f_2^q$ . Any point  $x \in \{f_1 = 0\} \cap \{f_2 = 0\}$  belongs to the Kupka set

$$\omega(x) = 0$$
 and  $d\omega(x) = -(p+q)(df_1 \wedge df_2)(x) \neq 0$ .

In this case,  $K(\omega) = \{f_1 = 0\} \cap \{f_2 = 0\}$ , and the normal bundle is  $\nu_K = (L_1 \otimes L_2)|_K$ , thus it has non-vanishing first Chern class. Then, by Theorem 2.3, the transversal type is linearizable, and actually, it is given by the 1-form  $\eta = pxdy - qydx$ , moreover, it remains constant under deformations.

# 3. Proof of theorem A

In this section, we shall prove our main result:

**Theorem A.** Let M be a projective manifold whose complex dimension is at least 3 and with  $H^1(M,\mathbb{C})=0$ . Let  $\omega=pf_2df_1-qf_1df_2$  with  $p\neq q$  where  $f_i$  are holomorphic sections of the positive line bundles  $L_i$  and  $L_1^p=L_2^q$ . If  $\{f_i=0\}_{i=1,2}$  are smooth irreducible and meet transversally, then any deformation  $\omega'$  of the foliation represented by  $\omega$  has a meromorphic first integral  $\phi'=f_1'^p/f_2'^q$  where  $f_i'\in H^0(M,\mathcal{O}(L_i))$ .

Let  $w = pf_2df_1 - qf_1df_2$  be as in theorem A. Observe that  $f_1 \cdot f_2$  is an integrating factor for  $\omega$ . Conversely, if q/p,  $p/q \notin \mathbb{N}$  and  $\omega'$  is an integrable section close to  $\omega$ , we will show that the leaves  $\{f_i = 0\}_{i=1,2}$  have non-trivial holonomy and are stable under deformations, namely, there are sections  $f'_i \in H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L_i))i = 1, 2$  such that  $\{f'_i = 0\}_{i=1,2}$  are compact leaves of the foliation  $\omega'$ . We will show that  $f'_1 \cdot f'_2$  is an integrating factor for  $\omega'$  and the conclusion will follow from Theorem 1.3.

The following theorem may be found in [C].

**3.1 Theorem.** Let M be a smooth projective manifold of complex dimension  $\geq 3$ . If  $\omega = pf_2df_1 - qf_1df_2 \in Fol(M, L_1 \otimes L_2)$  is a section as in theorem A, then at least one of the leaves  $\{f_i = 0\}$  is stable under deformations.

**Proof.** Let  $\omega_t$  be a family of foliations such that  $\omega_0 = \omega$ . The idea is to find a fixed point of the perturbed holonomy. In order to do this, we will find a central element which has non-trivial linear holonomy.

Let V be a smooth algebraic manifold of complex dimension  $\geq 2$ , and let  $W \subset V$  be a smooth, positive divisor on V. Recall that, if  $i: V - W \hookrightarrow V$  denotes the inclusion map, then the generator  $\gamma_W$  of the kernel of  $i_*: \pi_1(V - W) \to \pi_1(V)$  is central in  $\pi_1(V - W)$  (see [N] p. 315-316).

Since  $K \subset \{f_i = 0\}$  i = 1, 2 is a positive divisor, the loop  $\gamma^i := \gamma_K^i \in \pi_1(\{f_i = 0\} - K)$  i = 1, 2 is central, so, we must consider two cases:

a) If  $p/q \notin \mathbb{N}$  and  $q/p \notin \mathbb{N}$ , then both leaves  $\{f_i = 0\}_{i=1,2}$  are stable.

The perturbed holonomy of the element  $\gamma_K^i \in \pi_1(\{f_i = 0\} - K)$ , has the form:

$$\begin{split} H_{\gamma_{K}^{1}}(y,t) &= (h_{\gamma_{K}^{1}}(y,t),t) & \frac{\partial h_{\gamma_{K}^{1}}}{\partial y} \; (0,0) = \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{q}{p}\right) \neq 1 \\ H_{\gamma_{K}^{2}}(y,t) &= (h_{\gamma_{K}^{2}}(y,t),t) & \frac{\partial h_{\gamma_{K}^{2}}}{\partial y} \; (0,0) = \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{p}{q}\right) \neq 1. \end{split}$$

By the implicit function theorem, there are germs of analytic functions  $t\mapsto p_t^i\ i=1,2$  such that

$$h_{\gamma_K^i}(p_t^i,t)=p_t^i\ i=1,2.$$

Now, because  $\gamma_K^i \in \pi_1(\{f_i = 0\} - K)$  is central, the unique fixed point  $(p_t^i, t)$ , is fixed for any other element

$$\beta \in \pi_1(\{f_i = 0\} - K),$$

that is,  $h_{\beta}(p_t^i, t) = p_t^i$ , hence, it is fixed by the perturbed holonomy of the leaf  $\{f_i = 0\}$ .

By theorem 2.3, the transversal type of the Kupka set, remains constant through the deformation, and it is given by  $\eta = pxdy - qydx$  [GM-L], thus, the leaves  $\mathcal{L}_t^i$  through the points  $(p_t^i, t)$  contain the smooth separatrices of the Kupka set, and  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_t^i}$  is a compact leaf of the foliation  $\omega_t$ .

b) If 1 = p < q, then the above argument, may be applied only to the leaf  $\{f_2 = 0\}$ .  $\square$ 

**Remark.** Observe that the fundamental class of the compact leaf  $\{f_{it} = 0\}$  remains constant through the deformation.

We will use the following facts on holomorphic line bundles over Kähler manifolds:

It is well known, [G-H] p. 313, that a holomorphic line bundle L has Chern class zero, if there exists an open covering of M such that the transition functions are constants, and such line bundle is trivial, when it has a non-zero holomorphic section.

On the other hand, if  $H^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = 0$ , the Hodge decomposition theorem, [G-H] p. 116, implies that any holomorphic line bundle L over M is classified by its Chern class, hence, under this hypothesis, a holomorphic line bundle L is holomorphically trivial if and only if  $c_1(L) = 0 \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ .

**3.2 Lemma.** Let  $L_i i = 1, 2$  be positive holomorphic line bundles, and let  $\{f_i\}$  and  $\omega$  be as in theorem (3.1). If  $H^1(M, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ , then any deformation of the foliation  $\omega$  has an integrating factor.

**Proof.** Let  $\omega_t$  be an analytic family of foliations with  $\omega_0 = (pf_2df_1 - qf_1df_2) \in Fol(M, L_1 \otimes L_2)$ .

We will consider two cases:

(1) If  $p/q \notin \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 1/2, 1/3, \dots\}$ .

In this case, the leaves  $\{f_1 = 0\}$  and  $\{f_2 = 0\}$  are stable, thus there exists an analytic family of sections  $f_{it} \in H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L_i))i = 1, 2$  such that  $\{f_{it} = 0\}_{i=1,2}$  are compact leaves of the foliation represented by  $\omega_t$ .

We claim that the product  $f_{1t} \cdot f_{2t} \in H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L_1 \otimes L_2))$  is an integrating factor of the section  $\omega_t$ . In order to show this, it is only necessary to prove that the meromorphic 1-form

$$\Omega_t = \frac{\omega_t}{f_{1t} \cdot f_{2t}}$$

is closed on a nonempty open subset of M.

By Theorem 2.3, the transversal type of the Kupka set is constant through the deformation, thus, on a neighborhood of any point of the Kupka set, there exists a never vanishing holomorphic function  $\rho_{\alpha t} \in$   $\mathcal{O}^*(U_{\alpha})$ , such that the meromorphic 1-form  $\Omega_t$  has the local expression:

$$\rho_{\alpha t} \cdot \Omega_t|_{U_{\alpha}} = p \frac{dx_{\alpha t}}{x_{\alpha t}} - \frac{dy_{\alpha t}}{y_{\alpha t}} = \eta_{\alpha t},$$

and this equality holds, because  $\{x_{\alpha t} = 0\} = \{f_{1t} = 0\} \cap U_{\alpha}$  and  $\{y_{\alpha t} = 0\} = \{f_{2t} = 0\} \cap U_{\alpha}$ , and both forms have the same pole (with multiplicity). Now, in [C-L] it is shown that, when  $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ , the meromorphic 1-forms  $\eta_{\alpha t}$  glue to a meromorphic 1-form  $\eta_t$  defined on the open set  $U = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ , and this implies that the family of functions  $\rho_{\alpha t}$  define a never vanishing holomorphic function on  $\rho_t \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ .

On the other hand, the Kupka set  $K_t$ , of the foliation represented by the section  $\omega_t$ , is the transversal intersection of the positive divisors

$$K_t = \{f_{1t} = 0\} \cap \{f_{2t} = 0\},\$$

this implies, as was pointed in [C-L], that the function  $\rho_t$  may be extended to all M, hence it is a constant, and then, the meromorphic 1-form  $\Omega_t$  is closed on the neighborhood of the Kupka set  $K_1 \subset U = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ , this implies that the meromorphic form  $\Omega_t$  is closed, hence,  $f_{1t} \cdot f_{2t}$  is an integrating factor for the foliation  $\omega_t$ .

(2) Assume that p=1 < q. In this case,  $\{f_2=0\}$  is a priori the unique stable compact leaf, thus there exists an analytic family  $f_{2t} \in H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L_2))$  such that  $\{f_{2t}=0\}$  is a leaf of the foliation represented by  $\omega_t$ .

We claim that  $f_{2t}^{q+1}$  is an integrating factor for  $\omega_t$ , as in the above case, we will show that

$$\Omega_t = \frac{\omega_t}{f_{2t}^{q+1}}$$

is a meromorphic 1-form and it is closed on an open subset of M.

Now, in [C-S], it is shown that there exists a rank two holomorphic vector bundle E, with a holomorphic section  $\sigma$ , vanishing precisely on the Kupka set, and  $\wedge^2 E = L$ .

The main point that we will use here, is that K is the transversal intersection of two positive divisors. This holds if and only if, the vector bundle E splits in a direct sum of positive line bundles.

The total Chern class of the bundle E, is computed in [C-S], and it is given by the formulae:

$$c(E) = 1 + c_1(L) + [K],$$

where [K] denotes the fundamental class of K in M.

Now, in our case, we have that  $K_t \subset \{f_{2t} = 0\}$ , and  $\{f_{2t} = 0\}$  is a positive divisor, this implies that there exists the following exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles:

$$0 \to L_2 \to E \to L_1 \to 0$$
  
 $L_2 = \{\{f_{2t} = 0\}\}\ L_1 = E/L_2$ 

such exact sequences are classified by the cohomology group

$$H^1(M, \mathcal{O}(L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1})).$$

Now, we have the following relations on the Chern classes:

$$c_1(L) = c_1(L_2) + c_1(L_1) = (q+1) \cdot c_1(L_2) \Rightarrow c_1(L_1) = q \cdot c_1(L_2),$$

hence the holomorphic line bundle  $L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}$  has negative Chern class, and by Kodaira vanishing theorem, we have that

$$H^1(M, \mathcal{O}(L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1})) = 0,$$

hence, the vector bundle E splits in a direct sum of positive line bundles, and  $K_t$  is a complete intersection of positive divisors.

As in the first case, on a neighborhood of any point of the Kupka set  $K_t$  of  $\omega_t$  we have

$$\rho_{\alpha t} \cdot \Omega_t|_{U_{\alpha}} = \frac{\omega_t}{f_{2,t}^{q+1}}|_{U_{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{y_{\alpha t}^{q+1}} \left( y_{\alpha t} dx_{\alpha t} - q x_{\alpha t} dy_{\alpha t} \right) = d \left( \frac{x_{\alpha t}}{y_{\alpha t}^q} \right),$$

but in this case, we have that

$$\frac{1}{y_{\alpha t}^{q+1}} \left( y_{\alpha t} dx_{\alpha t} - q x_{\alpha t} dy_{\alpha t} \right) = c_{\alpha \beta} \frac{1}{y_{\beta t}^{q+1}} \left( y_{\beta t} dx_{\beta t} - q x_{\beta t} dy_{\beta t} \right) \quad c_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

hence, the functions  $\rho_{\alpha t}$  defines a holomorphic section on a neighborhood of  $K_t$  of a line bundle with Chern class zero, which is the trivial line bundle, by the assumption on  $H^1(M, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ .

By the same argument as above, this section may be extended to M, thus it defines a non-zero holomorphic function, and the meromorphic

1-form  $\omega_t/f_{2,t}^{q+1}$  is closed, this implies that  $f_{2,t}^{q+1}$  is an integrating factor as claimed.  $\square$ 

Now, we are in a position to complete the proof of Theorem A:

**Proof of theorem A.** We are going to consider two cases:

(1) 
$$\omega = pf_2df_1 - qf_1df_2 \ 1 < q < q$$
:

By Lemma 3.2,  $f_{1t} \cdot f_{2t}$  is an integrating factor for  $\omega_t$ , and by Theorem 1.3, we have shown that:

$$\frac{\omega_t}{f_{1t}f_{2t}} = p\frac{df_{1t}}{f_{1t}} - q\frac{df_{2t}}{f_{2t}}.$$

This implies that

$$\omega_t = p f_{2t} df_{1t} - q f_{1t} df_{2t},$$

and  $\omega_t$  has the meromorphic first integral  $\phi_t = f_{1t}^p/f_{2t}^q$ .

(2) 
$$\omega = f_2 df_1 - q f_1 df_2$$
:

(2)  $\omega = f_2 df_1 - qf_1 df_2$ : By Lemma 3.2,  $f_{2t}^{q+1}$  is an integrating factor for  $\omega_t$ , and again by theorem 1.3, we have:

$$\frac{\omega_t}{f_{2t}^{q+1}} = \lambda \frac{df_{2t}}{f_{2t}} + d\left(\frac{f_{1t}}{f_{2t}^q}\right)$$

where

$$f_{1t} \in H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L_2^q)) = H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L_1)).$$

Since the divisor  $\{f_2 = 0\}$  is positive, we have that  $\lambda = 0$ , thus we get:

$$\omega_t = f_{2t}^{q+1} d\left(\frac{f_{1t}}{f_{2t}^q}\right) = f_{2t} df_{1t} - q f_{1t} df_{2t}.$$

This finish the proof.  $\Box$ 

#### Remarks.

(1) Cerveau and Lins have shown in [C-L], that a codimension one foliation whose singular set has a compact connected component of the Kupka set, which is a complete intersection (i.e. the transversal intersection of two positive divisors), has a meromorphic first integral.

The stability of the leaves of with non-trivial holonomy, implies that after a deformation, the Kupka set is a complete intersection.

- (2) If we begin with a unbranched rational function (that is,  $L_1 = L_2$ ) and we consider deformations keeping one leaf stable, then it is possible to find an integrating factor.
- (3) If  $H^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ , then theorem A is not true as the following example shows:

Let  $\theta_t$  be an analytic curve of closed holomorphic 1-forms with  $\theta_0 = 0$ . Consider the family of foliations

$$\omega_t = f_{1t}f_{2t}\left(prac{df_{1t}}{f_{1t}} - qrac{df_{2t}}{f_{2t}} + heta_t
ight),$$

Where  $f_{it} \in H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L_i))$  i = 1, 2. The foliation represented by the section  $\omega_t t \neq 0$  has only two compact leaves.

(4) Assume that  $H^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$  and  $\omega = f_2 df_1 - qf_1 df_2$  where q > 1 and the foliation  $\omega$  satisfying the hypotheses of theorem 3.1.

Let as above,  $\theta_t$  an analytic curve of closed holomorphic 1-forms, and consider the following family of foliations:

$$\omega_t := f_2^{q+1} \left( d \left( \frac{f_1}{f_2^q} \right) + \theta_t \right).$$

In this case, the foliation  $\omega_t$  has only one compact leaf, given by  $\{f_2 = 0\}$ .

#### 4. Universal families

In this section, we will describe irreducible components of the universal families of foliations of codimension 1.

Let M be a projective manifold with  $H^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = 0$ , we have seen that every holomorphic line bundle on M is determined by its Chern class  $c_1(L) \in H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ . It may be shown that  $\bigcup_c Fol(M,c)$  parameterizes the universal family of foliations of codimension 1 in M [GM].

### 4.1 Definition

The fibers  $\{\varphi^{-1}(c)\}$  of a rational map  $\varphi: M \to \mathbb{P}^1$  defined on a connected projective manifold M form a *Branched Lefschetz Pencil* if there are global sections  $f_i$  of positive line bundles  $L_i$ , i = 1, 2 with  $L_1^p = L_2^q$ , p, q > 0 such that:

- (1) The subvarieties  $\{f_i = 0\}_{i=1,2}$  are smooth and meets transversely in a smooth manifold K called the *center of the Pencil*.
- (2) The subvarieties defined by  $\lambda f_1^p + \mu f_2^q = 0$  with  $\lambda \mu \neq 0$  are smooth on M K except for a finite set of points  $\{(\lambda_i : \mu_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$  where it has just a Morse type singularity over the critical value in M K. Note that branched Lefschetz pencils are dense in the set of meromorphic maps satisfying only condition (1), thus as a consequence of

**Theorem B.** Let M be a projective manifold whose complex dimension is at least 3 and with  $H^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension one holomorphic foliation with singularities arising from the fibers of a Lefschetz or a Branched Lefschetz Pencil. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^0$ -structurally stable foliation.

## **Proof.** We have two cases:

theorem A we have:

- (1) If the meromorphic first integral is a Lefschetz Pencil, it is just part (a) of the Gómez-Mont Lins theorem [GM-L].
- (2) If the meromorphic first integral is a branched Lefschetz Pencil, by Theorem A, we have that any deformation of a branched Lefschetz pencil has a meromorphic first integral, this implies in particular, that the Kupka set is locally structurally stable, and we can repeat the proof of part (2) of the Gómez-Mont Lins theorem given in [GM-L]. □

**Theorem C.** Let M be a projective manifold of complex dimension at least 3 and with  $H^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(c)$  be an irreducible component of Fol(M,c) that contains a branched Lefschetz Pencil; then there exists a Zariski dense open subset of  $\mathcal{B}(c)$  parameterizing  $\mathcal{C}^0$ -structurally stable foliations, all of them topologically equivalent and branched Lefschetz pencils.

**Proof.** Let  $L_i$  be positive line bundless with chern classes  $c(L_i) = c_i$  such that  $L_1 \otimes L_2 = L$  where  $c(L) = c = c_1 + c_2$ .

Consider the map

$$\Phi: \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n_2} \to Fol(M, c_1 + c_2)$$
$$([f_1], [f_2]) \mapsto pf_1 df_2 - qf_2 df_1$$

where  $n_i = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L_i)) - 1, i = 1, 2.$ 

This is a well defined algebraic map. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the Zariski closure of the image of  $\Phi$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{W}$  is an irreducible component of Fol(M,c), where  $c=c_1+c_2$ . We know that any deformation of a branched Lefschetz pencil is again a branched pencil and then, it is in the image of  $\Phi$ . This show that  $\mathcal{W}$  and Fol(M,c) coincide in a neighborhood of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}$  is irreducible, then it is an irreducible component of Fol(M,c). Hence  $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{B}(c)$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$ 

# Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank M. Soares, A. Lins, P. Sad, and J. Muciño, for many stimulating conversations. Part of this work were done when the author was a guest at IMPA, he would like to thank this institution for their support and hospitality.

### References

- [C] O. Calvo, *Deformations of holomorphic foliations*. Differential Topology, Foliations, and Group Actions, vol. 161, CONM, pp. 21-28.
- [C-M] D. Cerveau, J. F. Mattei, Formes intégrables holomorphes singulieres. 97 (1982), Astérisque.
- [C-S] O. Calvo, M. Soares, Chern Numbers of a Kupka Component. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 44 (1994), 1219-1236.
- [C-L] D. Cerveau, A. Lins, Codimension one Foliations in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$   $n \geq 3$ , with Kupka components. Astérisque 222 (1994), 93-133.
- [G-H] Ph. Griffiths, J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry. Wiley Intersc., 1978.
- [GM] X. Gómez-Mont, Universal families of foliations by curves. 150-151 (1987), Astérisque, 109-129.
- [GM-L] X. Gómez-Mont, A. Lins, Structural Stability of foliations with a meromorphic first integral jour Topology. 30 (1991), 315-334.
- [GM-M] X. Gómez-Mont, J. Muciño, Persistent cycles for foliations having a meromorphic first integral. Holomorphic Dynamics (Gómez-Mont, Seade, Verjovsky, eds.), vol. 1345, LNM, 1987, pp. 129-162.
- [Me] A. Medeiros, Structural stability of integrable differential forms. Geometry and Topology (M. do Carmo, J. Palis, eds.), vol. 597, LNM, 1977, pp. 395-428.
- [M] J. Muciño, Deformations of holomorphic foliations having a meromorphic first integral. J. Für Reine und Angewante Math (to appear).

[N] M. V. Nori, Zariski's conjecture and related topics. Ann. Scient. Ec. Normal Sup. 16 (1983), 305-344.

### Omegar Calvo-Andrade CIMAT Ap. Postal 402, Guanajuato, Gto. 36000

Mexico

calvo@buzon.main.conacyt.mx

Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat., Vol. 26, N. 1, 1995